



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/576,727	05/23/2000	Chad A. Cobbley	3639.1US (97-1383.1)	3108
7590	07/20/2007	James R. Duzan Trask Britt P O Box 2550 Salt Lake City, UT 84110	EXAMINER [REDACTED] TRINH, MINH N	
			ART UNIT [REDACTED]	PAPER NUMBER 3729
			MAIL DATE 07/20/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/576,727	COBBLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh Trinh	3729

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8,18-20,22,23 and 25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,8,18-20,22,23 and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/07</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission of an RCE filed on 5/9/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 18-20, 22, 23 and 25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakemi et al (US 5,655,704) in view of US provisional application No. 60/078472 to Fjelstad.

Sakemi et al disclose an apparatus or an assembly system for placing a plurality of conductive spheres on a substrate comprising: a stencil plate 4 with upper and lower surfaces and a first pattern of plurality of through holes 4a, said stencil plate configured to place a plurality of conductive spheres 3 in said first pattern on a approximate surface of the substrate 2(see Figs. 3-4); a hopper (container 12) extending across at least a portion of the upper surface of said stencil plate 4 and closely spaced (gap between 12 and surface of 4) therefrom to maintain control over all the spheres therein (see Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 28-36) the hopper 12 having a bottom opening with a dimension extending across the first pattern for dispersing said spheres into the through holes 4a of the stencil plate 4 and a position apparatus 8 (see Fig. 1) for moving the hopper 12 over the first pattern relative to the stencil plate 4 (see Fig. 4) for place said spheres into said through holes 4a onto the proximate surface of said substrate 2 (see Fig. 4).

Sakemi et al do not teach the through hole of the stencil having a diameter in the range of about 2-10 of a conductive sphere. The Fjelstad discloses that (see Figs. 1-5, and the discussion at page 2, 2nd paragraph for the teachings of apertures 125/135 of and its diameter configuration requirements within the range of the present application such as about 2-10 of the size of the solder sphere (see 125 or 135 of Fig. 1), further, the Fjelstad also discloses the bottom surface of the hopper spaced from the top of the stencil as about less than one half the size of the sphere (see Fig. 2). Therefore, it

would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Fjestad's teaching as described above onto the invention of Sakemi for various known benefits that including delivering solder sphere for attaching the solder ball to the mounting terminals effectively and efficiently, etc.

As applied to claims 2-3 and 6, Sakemi et al teach the spheres being dropped and passed downwardly through the through holes by gravitation force as recited in claim 2 (see Fig. 4 which shows the solder balls being gravity fed into the mounting pads of the substrate 2); and the limitations of claims 3 and 6 (refer to Fig. 4 and the discussion at col. col. 4, lines 28-36).

As applied to claim 8, Sakemi et al teach the stencil 4 is being placed apart from the substrate 2 (see illustration of Fig. 4).

As applied to claim 5 and 22, Sakemi et al do not teach the first pattern holes diameter is greater than the diameter of each of the spheres by up to 1mm. With respect to the above configurations, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose pattern holes diameter greater than the diameter of the spheres, since applicant has not disclosed that the exact size configurations as described above is critical which would solve any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the with the size configurations as disclosed by each of the prior art references (i.e., see Fig. 4 of Sakemi et al, which shows the pattern holes 4a being greater than the diameter of the spheres 3, etc).

Art Unit: 3729

As applied to claims 19-20 and 23, Sakemi et al teach the spheres being dropped and passed downwardly through the through holes by gravitation force as recited in claim 19 (see Fig. 4 which shows the solder balls being gravity feed into the mounting pads of the substrate 2); and the limitations of claims 20 and 23 (see Fig. 4, and the discussion at col. col. 4, lines 28-36).

As applied to claim 25, Sakemi et al teach the stencil 4 being placed apart from the substrate 2 (see illustration of Fig. 4).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt
7/18/07



Minh Trinh
Primary Examiner